KATHY CASTOR 11TH DISTRICT, FLORIDA

COMMITTEE ON **ENERGY AND COMMERCE**

SUBCOMMITTEE ON HEALTH

SUBCOMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, TRADE, AND

SUBCOMMITTEE ON COMMUNICATIONS, TECHNOLOGY, AND THE INTERNET

COMMITTEE ON STANDARDS OF OFFICIAL CONDUCT

DEMOCRATIC STEERING AND POLICY COMMITTEE

REGIONAL WHIP

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

Washington, **DC** 20515—0911

September 9, 2010

The Honorable Lisa Jackson Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20460

RE: Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and Fly Ash Designation

Dear Ms. Jackson:

Constituents in my district have raised concerns regarding the current rule-making process concerning how to designate fly ash in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Our local companies and the Port of Tampa favor federal standards for fly ash disposal, but they are concerned over the "special waste" designation because it could impede the beneficial recycling of fly ash. It is my understanding that under subtitle C of RCRA, which establishes the federal program that would oversee hazardous waste, fly ash would be considered "special waste" within this section.

Florida, my home state, faces unique challenges and opportunities concerning coal ash. It has some of the most rigorous regulation for fly ash in the country. Special precautions have been established to line and levy fly ash holding ponds to ensure groundwater quality. Innovative Florida companies are recycling this byproduct to make concrete stronger and more durable which keeps fly ash out of landfills, reduces construction-side carbon emissions, and improves air quality. Recycling and creating exports and jobs should be considered paramount.

Twelve thousand tons of fly ash are exported for recycling each month out of the Port of Tampa, which is located in my district. Coal combustion products (CCP), which include fly ash, represent a nationwide economic impact of over \$6 billion when they are utilized in a beneficial manner, and over \$400,000 is saved by avoiding the need for disposal.

Fly ash recyclers are rightfully concerned over the proposed "special waste" designation. Because this designation is within the hazardous material subtitle of RCRA. some are worried that designation, of any kind, within subtitle C will bring a stigma to fly

WASHINGTON OFFICE:

317 CANNON BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515 (202) 225-3376

DISTRICT OFFICE:

4144 NORTH ARMENIA AVENUE SUITE 300 (813) 871-2817

www.castor.house.gov

ash and impede recycling. And as many other countries look to the United States' standards to determine their country's use of fly ash, American exporters may encounter difficulty.

I respectfully request that careful consideration be given to the benefits that come with recycling fly ash.

Sincerely,

Kathy Castor

United States Representative

Florida District 11

KATHY CASTOR

11TH DISTRICT, FLORIDA

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON HEALTH

SUBCOMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, TRADE, AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

SUBCOMMITTEE ON COMMUNICATIONS. TECHNOLOGY, AND THE INTERNET

COMMITTEE ON STANDARDS OF OFFICIAL CONDUCT

DEMOCRATIC STEERING AND POLICY COMMITTEE

REGIONAL WHIP

September 9, 2010

The Honorable Lisa Jackson Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20460

RE: Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and Fly Ash Designation

Dear Ms. Jackson:

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515—0911

WASHINGTON OFFICE:

317 CANNON BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515 (202) 225-3376

DISTRICT OFFICE:

4144 NORTH ARMENIA AVENUE SUITE 300 TAMPA, FL 33607 (813) 871-2817

www.castor.house.gov

Constituents in my district have raised concerns regarding the current rule-making process concerning how to designate fly ash in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Our local companies and the Port of Tampa favor federal standards for fly ash disposal, but they are concerned over the "special waste" designation because it could impede the beneficial recycling of fly ash. It is my understanding that under subtitle C of RCRA, which establishes the federal program that would oversee hazardous waste, fly ash would be considered "special waste" within this section.

Florida, my home state, faces unique challenges and opportunities concerning coal ash. It has some of the most rigorous regulation for fly ash in the country. Special precautions have been established to line and levy fly ash holding ponds to ensure groundwater quality. Innovative Florida companies are recycling this byproduct to make concrete stronger and more durable which keeps fly ash out of landfills, reduces construction-side carbon emissions, and improves air quality. Recycling and creating exports and jobs should be considered paramount.

Twelve thousand tons of fly ash are exported for recycling each month out of the Port of Tampa, which is located in my district. Coal combustion products (CCP), which include fly ash, represent a nationwide economic impact of over \$6 billion when they are utilized in a beneficial manner, and over \$400,000 is saved by avoiding the need for disposal.

Fly ash recyclers are rightfully concerned over the proposed "special waste" designation. Because this designation is within the hazardous material subtitle of RCRA, some are worried that designation, of any kind, within subtitle C will bring a stigma to fly

ash and impede recycling. And as many other countries look to the United States' standards to determine their country's use of fly ash, American exporters may encounter difficulty.

I respectfully request that careful consideration be given to the benefits that come with recycling fly ash.

Sincerely,

Kathy Castor

United States Representative

Florida District 11